Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/664,508	KADOHARA, TERUTAKE	
Examiner	Art Unit	
ALDEDT CLITLED	2622	

Applicant(s)

Examiner initiated interview cummary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	ALBERT CUTLER	2622		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):				
1) ALBERT CUTLER.	(3)			
2) Yelena Morozova (Reg. 65,499).	(4)			
Date of Interview: 08 November 2011.				
Type: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant	applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes If Yes, brief description:	⊠ No.			
ssues Discussed 101 112 102 103 Ot For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and det				
Claim(s) discussed: <u>N/A</u> .				
Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.				
Substance of Interview For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreeme eference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, argui	ent was reached. Some topics may include: ments of any applied references etc)	ide ntification or clarifi	cation of a	
The Examiner proposed an Examiner's Amendment requiring the labeling of figures 7-10 as "prior art". Ms. Morozova agreed to the proposed amendment.				
Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to	provide a separate record of the subst	ance of interview.		
Examiner recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the sub- he substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 71 spereral thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication specific results of outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to	3.04 for complete and proper recordati of any other pertinent matters discusse	on including the iden d regarding patental	tification of the bility and the	
Attachment				
/Albert H Cutler/ xaminer, Art Unit 2622			1.	